

**From:** [no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au) on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)  
**To:** [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy  
**Date:** Monday, 7 September 2020 11:38:24 AM

---

Submitted on Mon, 07/09/2020 - 11:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

**Name**

**First name**

Geoff

**Last name**

Munns

**Council name**

{Empty}

**Council email**

{Empty}

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

**Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Pyrmont 2009

**Submission file**

{Empty}

**Submission**

As a resident I have serious issues with the Pyrmont strategy. I am particularly concerned that there appears to be within the strategy a 'back door' way of allowing the Star Casino to build a tower that has previously been knocked back after years of community opposition and agitation. This seems to be an enormous and arrogant insult to the locals who want to preserve their unique village feel. I am not against development per se, but to run roughshod over existing height limits is beyond the pale. If the Star wants to build another hotel and there is a need for this as some claim, why not just build it to the existing regulations - as is the case with the Darling? Why does it need to sit on top of a tower of apartments? Does Pyrmont really need to be an unwitting victim of a duelling tower war across the harbour with the symbol of corruption, greed and organised crime that is the Crown? My arguments are also that once this is given the green light then all bets are off as far as other tall buildings - for example at the Fish Markets.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

{Empty}